

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)								
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:								
AIRS ID#: 0251260 DATE: <u>5/11/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:15 AM</u> DEF	PART: 11:05 AM							
FACILITY NAME: MARCEL'S CREMATIONS, INC.								
FACILITY LOCATION: 2111 NW 139 Street, Bay 18								
OPA LOCKA 33054								
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DIANNE MCCLOUD Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/28/2007 / 7/28/2012 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: PHONE: Mobile:								
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)								
	COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(-11-17)							
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>DIANNE MCCLOUD</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)							
Brief Notes:								
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DIANNE MCCLOUD?	X YesNo							
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still ?								
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?								

Emissions Unit Section 1 – 150 lb/hr Human Crematory Incinerator

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑	only one
		box for each	•
1	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		1
1.	after August 30, 1989?	⊠ Yes	□No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes	□No
2.	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Xes	□No
	Date of last inspection: 6/15/2010		
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	⊠ Yes	∐No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
	operation?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. Date of last VE test: 6/1/2010	₩	□ Na
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No
	If no, what was the problem (if known)?	i les	∐No
_	If no, what was the problem (if known):		
PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	-
			_
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?		⊠No
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?		□No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- Yes	□No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.		
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	☐ Yes	□No
	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		
		_	
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?		⊠No
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		<u></u> No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	∐No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	□ 3 7	□ > 7
2	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
٥.	is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compnance with the PM and CO standa	Yes	□No
	If yes, what reason?		
	ii yos, what reason.		
			76
PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
1	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	⊠No
1.	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:		<u></u>
	Downwind odor level detected- NO Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-NO (1-10)	
		/	
2.	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the	_	
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	□No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence	N-7	
	time at \square 1,800 ¹ \boxtimes 1,600 ² degrees was determined?	⊠ Yes	∐No
	(Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89)		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)						
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? 1) All temperature measurements	Yes	□No				
all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations 3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ()	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No □No				
4) Adjustments 5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes Yes	□No □No				
6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□No				
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No				
(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?		⊠No				
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity?	Yes	□No				
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	☐ Yes	□No				
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)				
1. If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐ Yes	□No				
 a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremati process begins in the primary chamber? 		□No				
 a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematical combustion. 	on					
 a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	on Yes	No				
 a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	on Yes Yes on	No				
 a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	on Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No				
 a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	on Yes Yes Yes Yes (check	No				

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufactu	er's specifications?	Yes	□No		
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procede shutdown and malfunction?		⊠ Yes	□No		
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characte If no, skip a. – b.	ristics?	Yes	⊠No		
a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?		□No □No			
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE			
Facility Section (continued) SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES (check ✓ only one					
		box for each	•		
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo		
FRANK DELGADO	5/11/2011				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	5/2012				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection			
COMMENTS: A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS CONDUCTED TEST STARTED AT 9:58 A.M. THE SECONDARY CHAMBER TO OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE ONE HOUR DIANE MACCLOUD, OWNER OF THE FACILITY ATTENDED IN I DID NOT DETECT ANY OBJECTIONABLE ODORS INSIDE OR	MPERATURE WAS 1650 DEGRE VE TEST. IE.				